



**Smiddywesson** 56 minutes ago

[remove](#) | [link](#)

You can stuff it with that white guilt bilge. Extracting natural resources from a country doesn't "gather up the locals into tight communities" and force them to have more children than they can feed. The truth is many of these people are incapable of taking care of themselves in an industrialized society because the average IQ in Sub Saharan Africa is barely 70. To put that into perspective, 83 is the lowest IQ you can have to go into the U.S. military because there's literally no job they can handle. These people can handle living in villages with low tech agriculture. Unfortunately, there's too many of them to live off the land that way and any interruption, a war or drought, causes widespread famine. Yes, the West is responsible for them living on the brink, but that's because we've been feeding them, allowing them to breed their way into an unsolvable predicament.

You ideology allows you to believe if the West hadn't invested in resource extraction in Africa, everything would be A-OK there, they would have engaged in their own resource extraction, and that money wouldn't have been squandered by one corrupt government after another. That's ridiculous. Your ideology also ignores that after decolonization, all the shining jewels of Africa left by countries like the French, Dutch, English and Spanish, didn't immediately turn into crap holes. They did, and you are just ignoring reality so you can virtue signal and feel good about yourself.

Bottom line, these are primitive people, they will never amount to anything and are incapable of ruling themselves because Mother Nature left them with a low intelligence.